

P8 Internal Audit / Evaluation Form

The purpose of this form set out audit / monitoring criteria and record audit outcomes made.

Section 1: Particulars of Audit		PLEASE USE BLOCK CAPITALS			
Date:		Area for Audit:	Lead Staging Life Cycle		
Auditor(s):		•			
Section of QMS / Relevant Services:	P8				
Staff Member Audit:					

Grading Scale:

3 = Strength There is **plentiful documentary evidence** to indicate that achievement in this area is above average. This

is an example of good practice which should be disseminated.

2 = Acceptable There is evidence that achievement in this area meets expectations. With further development, this could

become an area of strength

1 = For Improvement There is little or **no evidence** that achievement in this area meets expectations. Improvement is needed.



Section 2: Plan and Outcomes

Plan of Ac	ion for Audit / Monitoring:	Result	:s:		
	Standard Item	Yes	No	Grade	Comment / Evidence
1.	Are the obligations arising from QQI's Policies and Criteria for Validation of Programmes into your procedures for programme development, approval and review?				
2.	Do the procedures make clear that your programmes will:				
	· be written using learning outcomes				
	· be developed based on evidenced need				
	· align with the relevant award standards				
	· be subject to internal evaluation and approval prior to submission for validation				
	· comply with requirements of Access, Transfer & Progression				
	· be subject to ongoing monitoring and periodic review				
3.	If your programmes will incorporate blended learning, have you incorporated the relevant quality assurance guidelines relating to programme design, structure, assessment etc. (Ref. Section 4, BLGs)?				
4.	If your learners enrolled on any of your programmes will spend a significant amount of time on work placement, is the selection, monitoring and support of workplace provision and assessment covered by your procedures?				
5.	Are statistics on learner enrolments, retention, completion and progression monitored and reported on?How is this information captured and stored?				
6.	Are the resources required for programmes – human, financial, physical, ICT etc - regularly monitored and reported on?				
7.	What are the qualitative and quantitative indicators of quality used for your programmes, i.e. in reviewing the programme, what measures do you use to evaluate its success or otherwise?				
8.	Do you benchmark programme indicators against comparable providers?				
9.	Is the process for amending programmes based on monitoring / review clear and documented?				
10	Is information about programmes subject to internal approval prior to publication?				
11	Is recognition of prior non-certified learning (RPL) offered to learners? If so, is this process documented and monitored for consistency?				
12	How does your assessment strategy inform programme development?				
13.	Is there a policy governing what information is published about validated programmes? (Ref Section 9.1, 9.2 CGLs)				



14.	Is programme information approved for accuracy prior to publication in hard copy or on websites?
15.	Is there a commitment to publish quality assurance evaluation reports which the provider has carried out?
16.	If you are collaborating in any way with another provider in the delivery of a programme, does all programme information make clear which provider has the validated programme and, therefore, responsibility for quality assurance?
	oring total Applicable oring total Yes
Ov	verall Score



Section 3: Sign Off				
Staff Member / Trainer: Infeedback/scoring offered b	Totally agree			
area:		Agree with som	ne aspects	
		Disagree		
Staff Member:			Date:	
Auditor:			Date:	



P8 Internal Audit / Evaluation Form

Auditor Name: Date of Audit:						
Non-	nal Audit Conformance rt Summary					
	Action to be resolved	Current Status	Progress Plan	Person in Charge	Date Action Opened	•
1.						
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
		I	l l		<u>I</u>	
Section 3: Sign Off						
Staff Member:		Date:	Date:			
Audit	tor:				Date:	